1	I'm not quite sure what line.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Line nine,
3	I'm starting on line nine.
4	THE WITNESS: Line nine, okay.
5	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
6	Q It starts actually after your
7	previous answer, and then I ask, "But one of
8	the purposes of your report is really to get
9	you to do a narrative statement as to his risk
10	of re-offense," and your answer is, "No
11	prediction is intended in the findings here."
12	Do you recall that to be your testimony?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Is that inconsistent with
15	the statement you just made?
16	A No.
17	Q Okay. I thought you just said
18	A My understanding of probability in
19	actuarial terms or otherwise has said Mr.
20	Titus has a high or low or medium probability
21	of re-offense. I said he doesn't need more
22	treatment right now, which implies that the

1	probability of re-offense would appear
2	presently low based on the data considered.
3	Q Okay. Thanks for the explanation.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: If you want to ask
5	him, you're going to do this you want to
6	ask him does he still stand by the testimony
7	that he gave in his deposition?
8	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
9	Q Okay. Do you still stand by the
10	testimony that you gave in the deposition?
11	A In this part of the deposition,
12	yes.
13	Q All right.
14	MR. LYON: Your Honor, I don't
15	have that deposition with me. If, in the
16	future, if counsel is going to refer to it,
17	I'd request the opportunity to look at the
18	statement.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it's your
20	obligation to bring your depositions with you.
21	MR. LYON: All right. That's
22	fine.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're going to
2	have to just carry a heavier bag, unless he's
3	willing to tell you ahead of time. Some
4	lawyers do that.
5	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
6	Q Okay. At the bottom of your
7	report, it concludes that he does not appear
8	to have pedophilic tendencies now; is that
9	. correct?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. Where
11	are you in the report?
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'm sorry.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is going to
14	be, again, Exhibit 2 at what page? Time is
15	money, Mr. Knowles-Kellett.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I apologize,
17	your Honor. I thought I had the notes down.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if you don't
19	have it, then let's move on. If you've got
20	it, let's do it.
21	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
22	Q Okay. In reaching the conclusions

1	in your report, do you rely heavily in the lie
2	scales embedded in the tests given to Mr.
3	Titus?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Frankness and honest is one
6	of the most important findings and that they
7	support your other findings?
8	A Findings are critical because if
9	it appears that the evaluee has been deceptive
10	then the value of the whole report comes into
11	question.
12	Q Okay. Is it critical that him
13	being forthcoming with the details of his
14	crime, his current sexual practices, and
15	interests are critical to you reading your
16	findings?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you hearing
20	this all right, Doctor?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, thank you.

1

BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

professional of Do number organizations question whether a polygraph is of value in a test of this type?

I don't know how many question it. I do know that it certainly robustly falls into standard of care problems. There had only been one instance that I'm aware of, well, that's not true, two instances, that's not true either, three instances where the evaluator omitted the results of polygraph testing. One of them was me 20-something I think it was a bad mistake for vears ago. me not to have included it. I have very serious questions, as indicated in one of the appendices of this report, including the copy American that says the that Ι have, Psychological Association members should use the results of polygraph testing with great care and assign much greater weight to tests of honest that appear in other sources, such as, particularly, the lie scales. And on the

other hand, if I don't include a polygraph 1 test result, I'm not meeting standards of care 2 on the West Coast, and it's just kind of 3 reassuring to me if I do get congruence with 4 all measures of honesty that it's a very, very 5 important heavy indicator of the evaluee's 6 7 honesty. Okay. And the congruence is very 8 0 important because it's critical that Titus is 9 frank and honest? 1.0 I just said it's critical Yes. 11 for the evaluee, for me to have some evidence 12 that the evaluee is consistently frank and 13 honest as he's undergone general evaluation. 14 And the way I measure that is with findings 15 from the lie scales and the results of 16 17 polygraph testing. Okay. The finding in this report 18 sort of hinges on the truthfulness of Titus' 19 statement that to the best of his knowledge he 20 minors since his sex with 21 said no

incarceration for the adult felony; is that

correct? 1 I lost some along the way 2 somewhere. 3 Okay. I'm happy to repeat that 4 This one is important enough we'll 5 one. repeat it, okay. Your conclusions in this 6 report hinge really on the truthfulness and 7 honesty of Mr. Titus' statement that, to the 8 best of his knowledge, he's had no sex with 9 minors since his adult incarceration, released 10 from adult incarceration in 1995. 11 It's important for me to Yes. Α 12 have confidence that when he tells me that 13 that's a true statement. 14 And based on that Okay. 15 statement, you can conclude that he has no 16 That's one of the need for treatment? 17 critical things in reaching your conclusion 18 that he has no need for treatment at this 19 20 time? of critical finding this Α 21

evaluation is that Mr. Titus appears not to be

1	in need for additional treatment for sexual
2	deviancy. The treatment he's had in the past,
3	regardless of how lurching and long it was,
4	was beneficial to him and sufficient that in
5	the last 15 years or more he appears to have
6	led a life of honesty and lawfulness and very
7	constructive in all aspects of his life.
8	Q And how much did you charge Mr.
9	Titus to do this report? How much does it .
LO	
L1	A Not as much as my colleagues.
L2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why do you need to
L3	know that or even want to know that?
L4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
L5	how expensive this report was has a lot to do
L6	with the weight that it should be given.
L7	THE WITNESS: I don't know why,
L8	but anyway
19	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
20	Q Page 39 in your deposition might
21	refresh your recollection.
22	A Well, I have it in my

1	chronological notes. He gave me \$1,712, plus
2	an additional \$280, which he had paid earlier.
3	So whatever that adds up to.
4	Q Thank you. Can you define the
5	term grooming as it's used with respect to sex
6	offenders?
7	A Grooming is used in applicability
8	to sex offenders entails actions taken by a
9	pedophile to ingratiate himself with a minor,
10	such as saying things like, "Janie, I really
L1	love you. We have a special relationship.
L2	We'd do anything for each other." He brings
L3	her candy and cookies and goodies and takes
L4	her to see a kids' show of some kind, a clown
L5	show of some kind, anything to ingratiate
L6	himself and create a relationship with the
L7	child, which he later then exploits sexually.
18	Q Do you tell your clients that it's
L9	not adequate to get an ID from a prospective
20	sexual partner because there could be fake
21	IDs? Do you believe that's
22	A I frequently mention it. Go

ahead. 1 That you feel that it's not an 2 adequate defense that you've carded your 3 potential partner? 4 А feel it's not an adequate 5 I think the law in the State of defense. 6 Washington says the same thing. To answer 7 your question, in discussing the many reasons 8 for not re-offending, I might say, in fact I 9 frequently have, and besides that, if nothing 10 else here, it's important for you to be aware 11 that if you ignore everything I've said and 12 did proceed to re-offend, regardless of the ID 13 the victim might be carrying, you're still 14 vulnerable to the law. I once had a judge 15 say, "I don't care if the victim had a 16 wheelbarrow full of fake ID, it's still fake 17 ID," and the actual age was the age of a 18 minor. I do say that to people. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: It sounds like a 20 21 good judge.

THE WITNESS: Yes, he was a very

good judge. Great judge. 1 BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: 2 Mr. Lyon asked you some Okav. 3 questions about Mr. Titus' report that he's 4 had a thousand-plus sexual partners and your 5 At your deposition, you level of concern. 6 indicated that that kind of promiscuity would 7 become a subject matter in your course of 8 doing sexual treatment. Do you recall that 9 testimony? 10 Yes. 11 Could you explain what you 12 0 meant by that? 13 14

A Well, the issue here is if the evaluee is spending a lot of time focused on sexual matters, it just occurs to me he might spend his time better in some other way. It does not necessarily correlate with later doing any kind of sex crime or a kind of illegal behavior. I just esthetically would say let's take a look at have you ever thought of reading, for example, or going to movies?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But there is no lockstep connection that I know of with masturbation at that level or rather sexual partners at that level as being remarkable.

I had an athlete, I believe, a few years ago who bragged that he had had 30,000 sexual partners, and one of his colleagues the next day bragged, I believe, that that was nothing, he had had 30,000 sexual partners or 30,000 female sexual partners and 30 men. And I have had two clients who have had up to 10,000 partners. They were problematic for other reasons. But Mr. Titus has had a thousand partners, and it is not, in and of itself, worrisome.

Q Okay. Does that give you any concern that he's being reckless about the age of his partners?

A I have no means of knowing that. He says he's not being reckless about the age. He's passed polygraph testing, and he looks otherwise honest, so I tend to believe what he

1.1

1	said until I get evidence that that's not
2	true.
3	Q Okay. When you check for
4	congruency between the polygraph test and the
5	lie scales, is that because the lie scales in
6	the tests are not always accurate?
7	A Well, partly, yes. It's because I
8	can introduce lie scale results in a court of
9	law and have very easy and extensive
10	scientifically-respectable evidence that
11	that's true; or I get a polygraph test, it's
12	usually of interest to law enforcement and
13	probation and child protective service and any
14	treater. But it's not, there are different
15	ways of getting at the extent of truthfulness.
16	Q All right.
17	A Am I answering your question?
18	Q One follow-up question on that.
19	Polygraphs are frequently inadmissable because
20	they're scientifically found to be
21	scientifically unreliable.
22	A There are two reasons, I believe,

1	in the law.
2	Q Okay. And so your primary purpose
3	in the polygraph is to check for congruency?
4	A No, my go ahead.
5	Q I'm sorry. Let's finish the
6	question there. Primary purpose is just to
7	check for congruency? It's to double-check
8	your lie scales?
9	A No, it is to examine the evaluee's
LO	honesty in the array of ways I have available
L1	to me. I want to know whether the evaluee has
L2	been honest, and so I would look into any
L3	source or data that would tell me that he's
L4	been honest or not honest.
L5	Q Okay. Did Mr. Titus discuss with
L6	you his occasional sex in parks?
L7	A He told me that there had been a
18	few occasions sometime ago, but that is not
19	his cited way of getting sex and having sex.
20	Q Okay. And you recommended to him
21	to assume that anyone without gray hair is not
22	of age?

	t ·
1	A I forgotten that I had said that,
2	but, yes, I frequently say that. A good way
3	to tell if a partner, a prospective partner
4	you don't know well, if he has gray hair he's
5	probably safe.
6	Q Okay. I think that you is it
7	correct that I'm characterizing your testimony
8	to Mr. Lyon that Titus must have gotten the
9	skills to remain offense-free from treatment?
LO	A That's my assumption, and that's
11	what he told me.
12	Q Okay.
L3	A The kind of terminology, jargoning
14	terminology that he uses when he talks about
15	those matters would tell me that he got that
16	from treatment. I don't where else he'd get
17	it.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
19	I'd like to take a one-minute break. I think
20	I'm ready to pass the witness back, but I just
21	got to check if it's okay.
]	

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. We're going

1	to take a break, Doctor. Why don't we do
2	this? We'll take a five-minute break. Does
3	that give you enough time to do whatever you
4	want to do?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. We'll
7	come back in five minutes. Thank you, sir.
8	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
9	went off the record at 4:19 p.m. and went back
10	on the record at 4:27 p.m.)
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Back on the record.
12	Are you set, Mr. Reporter? We're set.
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I have no
14	further questions, your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you, Mr.
16	Knowles-Kellett.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. LYON:
19	Q Doctor, I wish I could say I had
20	none, but I only have one. That is the amount
21	that you charged Mr. Titus for your
22	psychosexual evaluation, did that represent a

1	significant amount of your income for 2007?
2	A No.
3	Q Would it represent a significant
4	amount of your income for 2008?
5	A No.
6	MR. LYON: Nothing further.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. You want
8	to add to that, Doctor?
9	THE WITNESS: Well, I had been in
10	the hospital, so during those months, yes, it
11	was very significant right then. But,
12	otherwise, you know, not in the big scheme of
13	things. And I recovered now.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Your own medical
15	bills were probably higher, am I to assume
16	that?
17	THE WITNESS: Oh, unfortunately,
18	your Honor, they're much higher.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I hope you recover
20	well.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
22	may I approach?

But before we do this, we're just going to put a document in, but we're going to excuse you as soon as I can. I want to ask you two things. First of all, and this is my characterization, but it seems when I was listening to you -- was it psychopathy -- and the reference to actuarials that you're not a big fan of actuarials.

THE WITNESS: Well, I recently attended the training, as I said. I know personally a couple of the authors. And I'm also aware that they have come into some question in recent years. I dislike relying solely on numbered data. I like to employ a little judgment. For example, a recent history of behavior is important, and that's nary. So I suspect that actuarials will ultimately find a place in this business, and I think it will not be the end-all and be-all that people originally thought they might.

JUDGE SIPPEL: If you feel

comfortable stating this on the record, what 1 would be your estimate as to the reliability 2 that it is afforded in the profession? 3 other words, do the majority of doctors in 4 your line of work work with actuarials? Is it 5 about 50/50? Is it a minority view? 6 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can 7 only guess. What I see coming in from my 8 colleagues into my office --9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't want 10 a guess. What about the literature? Have you 11 seen anything in the literature? A guess is 1.2 13 no good. THE WITNESS: That would predict 14 what percent are being -- I've never seen any 15 assessment as to what percent of current 16 psychosexual evaluations include actuarial 17 data. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let me move 19 on to one other thing. The term has come up 20 in several contexts about pedophiles 21

pedophilia. Now, taking the conduct of record

of Mr. Titus that's the subject of this proceeding, which concerns minors, would you say that the conduct that he was engaging in was pedophilic conduct or conduct of a pedophile?

THE WITNESS: The behaviors he engaged in on those occasions when he himself was a minor or at least adolescent were That doesn't mean it rises pedophilic acts. to the standard, to the diagnostic standard of pedophile. And I'd have to have the manual in front of me and check things out. The duration was six months. His primary interest at that time was people who were minors. since then, none of those criteria apply. So, yes, I wouldn't even say he has pedophilic tendencies at the present time. I'd say that he has a history as an adolescent and preadolescent of pedophilic behavior.

JUDGE SIPPEL: In your opinion -well, then what I'm hearing you say is that
you really don't have an opinion or your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

1	opinion would be negative as to his being
2	called the term a pedophile today, from a
3	professional standpoint.
4	THE WITNESS: No. There are many
5	reasons why today why he could not be
6	diagnosed as a pedophile.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: What would be your
8	best reason?
9	THE WITNESS: Best reason is is
10	that he expresses having not had interest
11	during the past six months or even years of
12	interest sexually in kids that was exclusive
13	or predominant. So with that alone makes him
14	not diagnosable today as a pedophile.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I should have asked
16	you this probably up-front, but can you give
17	me a definition, if you have one to read from
18	that's fine, but the definition of a
19	pedophile?
20	THE WITNESS: I don't have one. I
21	would always go to the manual and double-
22	check. One is that the predisposition of

exclusive or near-exclusive interest in minors has existed for at least six months.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. the ones that I read about in the paper, and, again, this is maybe getting a little off the course here, but these clergy cases, they're constantly being referred to as pedophiles. I take it because of the -- well, what is it that puts them in that category? Is it generally an honest reporting that they the pedophiles, and what are are characteristics that put them in that category?

THE WITNESS: Well, your Honor, I don't have what's called the DSM-IV, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual published by the American Psychiatric Association, but before I make a diagnosis of anybody I turn to that manual and I look in the checklist and I make checks down the side of the page where the requirements are present. And if they don't meet those requirements, he doesn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	qualify as a pedophile. What the newspaper
2	says, it may include use of the word pedophile
3	and pedophilia, but that doesn't make the
4	person a pedophile. He may be a pedophile,
5	but you have to demonstrate by meeting the
6	criteria. One is that he's been interested
7	exclusively or almost exclusively in becoming
8	involved sexually with a minor, and that
9	predisposition has spanned at least six
10	months.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And
12	from your professional observation, that does
13	not apply here?
14	THE WITNESS: It doesn't apply
15	here currently, and I think if we took a
16	careful look well, it wouldn't apply anyway
17	because of his young age originally.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: It has to be an
19	adult? I'm sorry. Go ahead and finish, sir.
20	THE WITNESS: Having engaged in
21	pedophilic behavior on some occasions does not
22	necessarily result in a diagnosis of

1	pedophile. If he's got tendencies, pedophilic
2	predisposition, pedophilic history, but it
3	doesn't qualify for the diagnosis of a
4	pedophile.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. That's
6	all I have, Doctor. Thank you.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Can I ask
8	one follow-up question, your Honor? I'd like
9	to follow-up on your question.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure, yes.
11	RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
13	Q Okay. Dr. Allmon, could you look
14	at EB Exhibit 4, page 28, the first full
15	paragraph on that page under medication? This
16	is a treatment summary from around the time
17	when he first entered into your treatment. I
18	believe this is one of the documents reviewed
19	from your report.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want to
21	direct the doctor to a specific section?
22	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:

1	Q Yes. The paragraph that says
2	medication, if you read that whole paragraph.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just read it to
4	yourself, Doctor, please.
5	THE WITNESS: I've completed
6	reading the statement.
7	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
8	Q Yes. My question is does that
9	refresh your recollection as to whether he had
10	a diagnosis of pedophile at the time he
11	entered your treatment?
12	A Well, if he is I want to just
13	see the manual and should make a checklist.
14	Q Okay.
15	A We're talking here about ancient
16	history. It's my task to render a diagnosis
17	that works in the current moment.
18	Q Thank you.
19	A And as far as I can tell,
20	regardless of what he may have done as an
21	adolescent, pre-adolescent, today he seems to
22	be a highly well-functioning man with none of